

# Comparison of Substituent Effects in Benzenes (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C), Pyridines (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N) and Phosphorines (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P) and their Protonated Species

R. Sanjeev<sup>1</sup>, V. Jagannadham<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology Cheeryal-501301, Telangana, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007, India \*Corresponding author: jagannadham1950@yahoo.com

Received August 15, 2020; Revised September 18, 2020; Accepted September 27, 2020

**Abstract** Collection of interesting and stimulative data led us to construct Hammett plots for different properties like proton affinities, gas phase basicities, solvation free energies of free and protonated benzenes (I), pyridines (II) and phosphorines (III), and for  $pK_a$  values of protonated pyridines and phosphorines. Trends in Hammett reaction constants ( $\rho$ ) for all these processes were discussed.



*Keywords*: benzenes, pyridines, phosphorines,  $pK_a$ , proton affinities, gas phase basicities, solvation free energies

**Cite This Article:** R. Sanjeev and V. Jagannadham, "Comparison of Substituent Effects in Benzenes  $(XC_5H_5C)$ , Pyridines  $(XC_5H_4N)$  and Phosphorines  $(XC_5H_4P)$  and their Protonated Species." *World Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 8, no. 4 (2020): 155-162. doi: 10.12691/wjce-8-4-3.

## 1. Introduction

Acuity of work in chemical education and chemical research that took a shape from literature reported data on several chemical and physical aspects like phase transition temperatures, dipole moments, surface tensions, attenuation effect, associative and non-associative behavior of liquids, stability and lifetimes of reactive intermediates, LFER, effect of hybridization of carbon on Hammett ( $\rho$ ) and Taft ( $\rho^*$ ) reaction constants, prediction of p $K_a$  values of unstable arenium ions and benzenes, from our group has been ever increasing in recent times [1-63]. In the present work to go a step ahead we have tried the comparison of substituent effects on p $K_a$ , proton affinities, gas phase basicities, solvation free energies in benzenes ( $C_5H_6C$ ), pyridines ( $C_5H_5N$ ) and phosphorines ( $C_5H_5P$ ) and their protonated species.

# 2. Methods

All the linear correlations were done using the KaleidaGraph software, Reading, PA, USA. All chemical

structures were drawn using chemdraw software. All Hammett  $\sigma$  values are from reference 64.

### **3.** Discussion

Hammett reactions constants ( $\rho$ ) and  $pK_a$  data of arenium, pyridinium and phosphorinium ions are given in Table 1. The corresponding plots are shown in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3.

Since the Hammett  $\rho$  can not be determined for the dissociation equilibriums of arenium ions  $XC_6H_6^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_6H_5 + H^+$  as they are highly unstable, an alternate and lucid method was adopted by us based on the attenuation effect [26]. Figure 1 shows the determination of the Hammett  $\rho$  for the dissociation equilibriums of arenium ions  $XC_6H_6^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_6H_5 + H^+$  from the study of attenuation effect of methylene group (-CH<sub>2</sub>-) on the dissociation equilibriums of anilinium ions, benzyl ammonium ions and 2-phenylethyl ammonium ions [26] and using the Andrew Williams' empirical equation  $\rho = m1^{(2-i)}$  [65] where m1 is an arbitrary constant "i" is the number of atoms between ionizable proton and the ring carbon.

$XC_6H_6^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_6H_5 + H^+$		XC	$_{5}H_{5}N^{+} \rightleftharpoons XC_{5}H_{4}N^{+}$	$I + H^+$		$XC_{3}H_{5}P^{+} \rightleftharpoons XC_{3}H_{4}P + H^{+}$				
	Sl. No.	Х	Hammett $\sigma$	$pK_a^{(a)}$	Hammett p for this equilibrium is 6.48 Figure 2	S1. No.	Х	Hammett $\sigma$	pK <sub>a</sub> <sup>(a)</sup>	Hammett ρ for this equilibrium is 7.73 Figure 3
	1	Н	0.00	5.21		1	Н	0.00	-16.05	
Hammett a for	2	3-F	0.34	2.93		2	4-F	0.06	-19.44	
this equilibrium	3	3-C1	0.37	3.45		3	4-C	0.23	-18.60	
is 14.3	4	4-Cl	0.23	4.52		4	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	0.78	-21.78	
determined	5	4-Me	-0.17	7.21		5	4-Me	-0.17	-15.53	
attenuation	6	4-MeO	-0.27	8.19		6	4-CF <sub>3</sub>	0.54	-21.22	
effect	7	4-CN	0.66	0.69		7	4-MeO	-0.27	-13.17	
(Reference 26) Figure 1	8	3-Me	0.07	5.70						
	9	4-NH <sub>2</sub>	-0.66	9.20						
	10	4-Et	-0.16	6.00						
	11	4-Me	-0.17	6.00						

Table 1.  $pK_a$  values of pyridinium and phosphorinium ions and Hammett  $\rho$  values for the three equilibriums

<sup>(a)</sup>The pK<sub>a</sub> values are from Nguyen-Nguyen Pham-Tran, Guy Bouchoux, David Delaere, and Minh Tho Nguyen, J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 2957-2963.



Figure 1. Plot of Hammett  $\rho$  versus i (the number of atoms between the ionizable proton and the ring carbon)



Figure 2. Plot of  $pK_a$  vs Hammett  $\sigma$  for pyridinium irons

Figure 2 shows the determination of the Hammett  $\rho$  for the dissociation equilibriums of pyridinium ions  $XC_5H_5N^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4N + H^+$  from the plot of  $pK_a$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$ .

Figure 3 shows the determination of the Hammett  $\rho$  for the dissociation equilibriums of phosphorinium ions  $XC_5H_5P^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4P + H^+$  from the plot of  $pK_a$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$ . The  $pK_a$  values of the equilibriums  $XC_5H_5N^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4N + H^+$  and  $XC_5H_5P^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4P + H^+$  are from reference 66.



Figure 3. Plot of  $pK_a$  vs Hammett  $\sigma$  for phospherinium ions

The negative Hammett  $\rho$  values for the equilibriums  $XC_5H_5N^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4N + H^+$  and  $XC_5H_5P^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4P + H^+$ are from the plots of  $pK_a$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  to be taken as positive for the plots of log  $K_a$  (since -log  $K_a = pK_a$ ) versus Hammett  $\sigma$ . Therefore the three Hammett  $\rho$  values of the three equilibrium reactions are 14.3, 6.48 and 7.73 respectively. It is to be noted that there is a large difference of nearly 7.8 and 6.6 units between Hammett  $\rho$  of the equilibrium  $XC_6H_6^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_6H_5 + H^+$  and of the equilibriums of  $XC_5H_5N^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4N + H^+$  and  $XC_5H_5P^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4P + H^+$ . This is mainly due to the loss of energy of the highly unstable and energetic intermediate arenium ions to drive back to the highly stable and less energetic benzene molecule. And this involves the restoration of the aromaticity due to the relief of unit positive charge on the arenium ions. And the small difference of 1.25 units of Hammett p between the equilibriums of  $XC_5H_5N^+ \rightleftharpoons XC_5H_4N + H^+$  and  $XC_5H_5P^+$  $\Rightarrow$  XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P + H<sup>+</sup> is due to only the difference of the occupancy of the lone pairs of electrons of N and P. The lone pair of electrons of N is in the p orbital and that of P is in the d orbital. In spite of the fact that the p orbital is more electronegative than d orbital the tendency towards retention of aromaticity of both the molecules even on protonation is a prime reason. There are several arguments about the aromaticity of phosphorine based on many theoretical calculations. And it is believed to have the 88-96% of aromaticity of that of benzene [67]. Such a high aromaticity of phosphorine is reflected from the well matched electronegativities of phosphorous (2.1) and carbon (2.5) [67].

Hammett reactions constants ( $\rho$ ) and proton affinity data of benzenes, pyridines and phosphorines are given in Table 2. The corresponding Hammett plots are shown in Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6.

Figure 4 shows the determination of the Hammett  $\rho$  for the proton affinities (PA) of benzenes from the plot of PAs versus Hammett  $\sigma$ .

Figure 5 shows the determination of the Hammett  $\rho$  for the proton affinities (PA) of pyridines from the plot of PAs versus Hammett  $\sigma$ .

Figure 6 shows the determination of the Hammett  $\rho$  for the proton affinities (PA) of phosphorines from the plot of PAs versus Hammett  $\sigma$ .

Table 2. Hammett reaction constants  $(\rho)$  and proton affinity data of benzenes, pyridines and phosphorines

	benzene						pyridine		phosphorine			
Sl. No.	Х	Hammett $\sigma$	a	PA (k.cals.mol <sup>-1</sup> ) b		Х	Hammett $\sigma$	PA <sup>c</sup> (k.cals.mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Х	Hammett $\sigma$	PA <sup>c</sup> (k.cals.mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
1	4-NH <sub>2</sub>	-0.66	209	212		Н	0.00	223.4	Н	0.00	195.6	
2	4-MeO	-0.27	199	202		3-F	0.34	216.3	4-F	0.06	190.7	
3	4-CHO	0.22	199	-		3-C1	0.37	217.5	4-Cl	0.23	191.5	
4	4-CN	0.66	195	170	Hammett o	4-Cl	0.23	220.0	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	0.80	181.0	
5	4-OH	-0.37	195	197		4-Me	-0.07	228.1	4-Me	-0.17	199.4	
6	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	0.80	193	165		4-MeO	-0.27	231.4	4-CF <sub>3</sub>	0.54	185.9	
7	4-Et	-0.15	191	-		4-CN	0.66	211.5	4-MeO	-0.27	204.9	
8	4-Me	-0.17	190	192	= - 30.5		•					
9	Н	0.00	184	183	Figure 4							
10	4-F	0.06	183	183								
11	4-C1	0.23	183	184			Hammett $\rho = -21.8$			Hammett $\rho = -20.1$		
12	4-CF <sub>3</sub>	0.54		171		Figure 5 Figure 6						
13	4-SiH <sub>3</sub>	0.10		185								
14	$4-PH_2$	0.05		191								
15	4-SH	0.15		196								

<sup>a</sup>Yan K. Lau and Paul Kebarle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1976, 98, 23, 7452-7453, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00439a072. <sup>b</sup>from Pham-Cam Nam, and Minh Tho Nguyen, Asit K. Chandra, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2006, *110*, 4509-4515. <sup>c</sup>from reference 65.





Figure 4. Plot of PAs vs Hammett  $\sigma$  for benzeness

Figure 5. Plot of PAs versus Hammett  $\sigma$  for pyridines



Figure 6. Plot of PAs versus Hammett  $\sigma$  for phospherines



Figure 7. Plot of - $\Delta G^{\circ}$  vs Hammett  $\sigma$  for benzenes



Figure 8. Plot of gas phase basicities (GB) vs Hammett  $\sigma$  for pyridines



Figure 9. Plot of gas phase basicities (GB) vs Hammett  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  for phosphorines

Table 3. - $\Delta G^{\circ}$  values of proton transfer to benzenes and gas phase basicities (GB) of pyridines and phosphorines

<b>C1</b>		ben	zene			pyridine		phosphorine				
SI. No	v	Hammett		$-\Delta G^{o(a)}$	v	Hammett	GB <sup>(b)</sup>	v	Hommott o	GB <sup>(b)</sup>		
10.	л	σ	(k	(k.cals.mol <sup>-1</sup> )		σ	(k.cals.mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Λ	Hammett O	(k.cals.mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
1	4-NH <sub>2</sub>	-0.66	25.6		Н	0.00	215.6	Н	0.00	187.9		
2	4-MeO	-0.27	15.7		3-F	0.34	208.5	4-F	0.06	182.9		
3	4-CHO*	0.22	15.4	Hammett $\rho$ for	3-Cl	0.37	209.7	4-Cl	0.23	183.8		
4	4-CN*	0.66	11.4		4-C1	0.23	212.2	$4-NO_2$	0.80	173.2		
5	4-OH	-0.37	11.3	this is -32.0	4-Me	-0.07	220.3	4-Me	-0.17	191.6		
6	$4-NO_2^*$	0.80	8.9	"are not	4-MeO	-0.27	223.6	$4-CF_3$	0.54	178.1		
7	4-Et	-0.15	7.3	correlation	4-CN	0.66	203.8	4-MeO	-0.27	197.1		
8	4-Me	-0.17	6.3	Figure 7								
9	Н	0.00	0.0	riguic /	Ham	Hammett $\rho$ for this is -21.7			Hammett $\rho$ for this is -20.2			
10	4-F	0.06	-0.8			Figure 8		Figure 9				
11	4-C1	0.23	-1.0			-			-			

<sup>(a)</sup>For the reaction (Yan K. Lau and Paul Kebarle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 23, 7452-7453, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00439a072)



<sup>(b)</sup>The GB values are from Nguyen-Nguyen Pham-Tran, Guy Bouchoux, David Delaere, and Minh Tho Nguyen, J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 2957-2963.

Figure 7 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the proton transfer reaction of protonated benzene to substituted benzenes.

Figure 8 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the gas phase basicities of pyridines.

Figure 9 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the gas phase basicities of phosphorines.

Figure 10 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  of free benzenes.

Figure 11 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus

Hammett  $\sigma$  of protonated benzenes.

Figure 12 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  of free pyridines.

Figure 13 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  of protonated pyridines.

Figure 14 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  of free phosphorines.

Figure 15 gives the Hammett  $\rho$  for the  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  of protonated phosphorines.

	Benzene (XC <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> C)					Pyridine (X	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> N)		Phosphorine (XC <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P)				
Sl. No.	х	Hammett $\sigma$	$\Delta G_{solv}$ (k.cal. mol <sup>-1</sup> )		х	Hammett	ΔG (k.cal	$\Delta G_{solv}^{(b)}$ (k.cal. mol <sup>-1</sup> )		Hammett	$\Delta G_{solv}^{(b)}$ (k.cal. mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
			B <sup>(a)</sup>	$BH^{+(a)}$		σ	В	$\mathbf{BH}^+$		σ	В	$\mathbf{BH}^+$	
1	$4-NH_2$ (4-NH <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup> )	-0.66 1.13 is used	-5.49	-70.0	Н	0.00	-4.85	-55.63	Н	0.00	-2.77	-52.31	
	4-N(Et) <sub>2</sub>	-0.72	-	-52.0	3-F	0.34	-4.34	-59.10	4-F	0.06	-2.01	-51.83	
	4-NHCH <sub>3</sub>	-0.70		-61.0	3-Cl	0.37	-3.70	-57.96	4-C1	0.23	-1.77	-51.92	
	4-NHC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-0.61		-60.0*	4-C1	0.23	-3.37	-56.63	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	0.80	-3.85*	-60.21	
2	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	0.50	-	-63.0*	4-Me	-0.07	-4.54	-53.35	4-Me	-0.17	-2.56	-49.03	
3	4-MeO	-0.27 0.12 is used	-2.45		4-MeO	-0.27	-6.12	-52.98	4-CF <sub>3</sub>	0.54	-1.31	-53.54	
4	4-CN	0.66	-4.10		4-CN	0.66	-6.45*	-62.89	4-MeO	-0.27	-3.34	-47.53	
5	4-OH	-0.37 0.12 is used	-6.62	Hammett p is calculated only	r								
6	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	0.80	-4.12	substrates.					Hammett $\rho$ for the free energy of solvation				
7	4-Et	-0.15	-0.80	*not included	Hammett	p for the free	energy of	solvation					
8	4-Me	-0.17	-0.89	correlation	( $\Delta O_{solv}$ ) (	$(\Delta G_{solv})$ of free and protonated pyridines are 3.23 and				$(\Delta G_{solv})$ of free and protonated phosphorines are 2.35 and			
9	Н	0.00	-0.87 <sup>(c)</sup>		-10.63 respectively				-9.78 respectively				
10	4-COOMe	0.44	-3.10		15 110	Figure 12 and 13			Figure 14 and 15				
Hammett $\rho$ for the free energy of solvation ( $\Delta G_{solv}$ ) of free benzenes is -3.75 and protonated benzenes is -289 Figure 10 and 11 respectively													

Table 4. Solvation free energies ( $\Delta G_{solv}$ ) of benzenes, pyridines, phosphorines (B) and their protonated species (BH<sup>+</sup>)

<sup>(a)</sup>Are from http://ftp.aip.org/epaps/jcp\_biochem\_phys/E-JCPSA6-144-002616/supplmnt.pdf, G. Hou, X. Zhu, and Q. Cui, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 6, 2303 (2010), <sup>(b)</sup>The GB values are from Nguyen-Nguyen Pham-Tran, Guy Bouchoux, David Delaere, and Minh Tho Nguyen, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2005, *109*, 2957-2963, <sup>(c)</sup>Same value is reported by Takahashi H, Suzuoka D, Morita A, *J Chem Theory Comput.* 2015 Mar 10; 11(3):1181-94. doi: 10.1021/ct501133u.



Figure 10. Plot of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  vs Hammett  $\sigma$  for free benzenes



Figure 11. Plot of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  vs Hammett  $\sigma$  for protonated benzenes



Figure 12. Plot of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  vs Hammett  $\sigma$  of free pyridines



Figure 13. Plot of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  vs Hammett  $\sigma$  of protonated Pyridines



Figure 14. Plot of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  of free phosphorines



Figure 15. Plot of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  versus Hammett  $\sigma$  of protonated phosphorines

Table 5. Hammett reaction constants ( $\rho$ ) on different properties of benzenes (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C), pyridines (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N) and phosphorines (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P) and their protonated species

		On $pK_a$ (Data in Tab	ole 1)			
	Arenium ions	Pyrid	inium ions	Phosphorenium ions		
	14.3		6.48	7.73		
	Figure 1	Fi	gure 2	Figure 3		
	On pro	ton affinities (PA) (Da	ta in Table 2)			
	Benzenes	Ру	ridines	Phosphorines		
	-30.5		-21.8	-20.1		
	Figure 4	Fi	gure 5	Figure 6		
	On gas p	hase basicities (GB) (I	Data in Table 3)			
	Benzenes	Ру	ridines	Phosphorines		
	-32.0		-21.7	-20.2		
	Figure 7	Fi	gure 8	Figure 9		
		On $\Delta G_{solv}$ (Data in Ta	ble 4)			
	Benzenes	Ру	ridines	Phosphorines		
в	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{U}^+$	В	$BH^+$	В	$BH^+$	
Б	ВН	(free base)	(protonated base)	(free base)	(protonated base)	
-3.75	-289	3.23	-10.63	2.35	-9.78	
Figure 10	Figure 11 Calculated only from three points	Figure 12	Figure 13	Figure 14	Figure 15	

The trends in the Hammett  $\rho$  values of the proton affinities (PA) and gas phase basicities (GB) of benzenes, pyridines and phosphorines are again in the same order of that observed for  $pK_{as}$  (Table 5). At this point it is worth to discuss little about the proton affinities and gas phase basicities. Though they look same in brief but they differ thermodynamically. Proton affinity of a species A<sup>-</sup> is defined as the negative value of the enthalpy change ( $\Delta$ H) of the process shown in the following reaction.

$$A_{(g)}^- + H_{(g)}^+ \rightarrow AH_{(g)}$$
 1

And gas phase basicity is defined as the negative value of the corresponding Gibbs free energy change ( $\Delta G$ ) of the same reaction [68,69]. It is known that  $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$ . Therefore it is clear that both  $\Delta G$  and  $\Delta H$  differ by an amount of T $\Delta S$ . And  $\Delta H$  values are always higher by an amount of T $\Delta S$  than the values of  $\Delta G$  (Table 2 and Table 3). From the trends of Hammett  $\rho$  for PAs and GBs the same explanations offered hold good on Hammett  $\rho$ for p $K_a$ s given on page 5.

Effect of substituents on the trends in the Hammett  $\rho$  values of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  of the free and protonated benzenes, pyridines and phosphorines are rather complex. It is reported that there were two ways of approach of the addendum solvent molecule on to the benzene as shown below in A and B [70].



#### Scheme 1.

The solvation process is a result of a competition between  $\pi$ -electron interaction as shown in A and H-interaction of X-H bond (X = C or N or P) as shown in **B** with the approaching solvent molecule. From the negative Hammett  $\rho$  value of -3.75 (Table 5, Figure 10) of the correlation of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  values with Hammett  $\sigma$  values in the present work for benzene clearly indicates that the interaction shown in A of scheme 1 may be predominant over the interaction shown in **B**. This is because the electron donating substituents are o,p-directing and they make the benzene molecule more electron rich at two *ortho* and at one *para* positions thus making the  $\pi$ -electron system of benzene more nucleophilic [71]. This makes easy for the positive part of addendum molecule to be attracted more by the benzene molecule. In the case of protonated benzenes high negative value of Hammett p of -289 (Figure 11) is at present unexplainable. Probably one needs some more data of  $\Delta G_{solv}$  for other substituents.

In the case of pyridines the Hammett  $\rho$  value is positive and it is 3.23 (Table 5, Figure 12). Here the solvation process is a result of a competition between H-interaction of N-H bond and  $\pi$ -electron interaction with the approaching solvent molecule. In this process from the positive Hammett  $\rho$  value of 3.23 suggests that the interaction of the type shown in **B** of scheme 1 is more favorable. This is because the electron withdrawing substituents at para position in pyridine moiety makes H of N-H bond more electrophilic hence the negative part of addendum molecule to be attracted more by the pyridine molecule.

In the case of protonated pyridines the whole process is just reversed as it can be seen from the negative Hammett  $\rho$  value of -10.3 (Table 5, Figure 13).

And the same observations hold well for free and protonated phosphorines (Table 5, Figure 14 and Figure 15).

#### 4. Conclusions

Trends in Hammett reaction constants ( $\rho$ ) on  $pK_{as}$ , proton affinities (PA), gas phase basicities (GB) and free energy of solvation ( $\Delta G_{solv}$ ) of benzenes (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C), pyridines (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N) and phosphorines (XC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P) and their protonated species were discussed.

#### References

- Sanjeev Rachuru, and Jagannadham Vandanapu, Journal of Molecular Liquids, 2020, vol. 302, page 112496.
- [2] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham and R. Ravi, Chemical Methodologies, 2020, vol. 4 page 106-114
- [3] Sanjeev. R , David Geelan and Jagannadham Vandanapu, Journal of Molecular Liquids, 2020, vol. 298, page 112138.
- [4] R. Sanjeev, R. Ravi and V. Jagannadham, National Academy of Science Letters (Allahabad, India), 2020, Vol. 43, page 5-8.
- [5] R. Sanjeev, David Geelan and V. Jagannadham, Education Quimica (The Mexican Journal of Chemical Education), 2019, Vol. 30, page 83.
- [6] Sanjeev Rachuru, V. Jagannadham and Sreedhar Pandiri, Oriental J. Chemistry, 2019, Vol. 35, page 461-465.
- [7] R. Sanjeev and V. Jagannadham, Oriental Journal of Physical Sciences, Bhopal, India (2018) vol. 3, page 58-61.
- [8] R. Sanjeev, D. A. Padmavathi and V. Jagannadham, World Journal of Chemical Education (Science & Educational Publishing, USA), (2018), Vol. 6, No. 1, page 78-81.
- [9] R. Sanjeev, D. A. Padmavathi and V. Jagannadham, Oriental J. Chemistry, 2018, Volume 34, Number 1, page no. 526-531.
- [10] Sanjeev. R, V. Jagannadham, Veda Vrath. R, V. E. M. Mamatha Bethapudi and Adam. A. Skelton, *Oriental J. Chemistry*, 2017, *Volume 33, Number 5*, page no.2673-2675.
- [11] R. Sanjeev, R. Ravi and V. Jagannadham, Journal of Applicable Chemistry, 2017, vol. 6, page 665-667
- [12] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, Adam A Skelton, Pandiri Sreedhar, V. E. M. Mamatha Bethapudi and R. Veda Vrath, *Oriental J. Chemistry*, 2017, Volume 33, Number 5, page no.2292-2296.
- [13] R. Sanjeev and V. Jagannadham, *Current Physical Chemistry* published by Bentham Science publishers, USA 2017, vol. 7, page 1-6.
- [14] R. Sanjeev, R. Ravi, V. Jagannadham and Adam A. Skelton, *Australian Journal of Chemistry*. Published online: 18 July 2016, in print 2017, vol. 70, page 90-100.
- [15] Sanjeev Rachuru, V. Jagannadham, Adam A. Skelton, Journal of Molecular Liquids, 224 (2016) 43-46.

- [16] G. Mallika Devi, D. A. Padmavathi, R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, World Journal of Chemical Education, (2016), Vol. 4, No. 6, 117-123.
- [17] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, and R. Ravi, *Journal of Applicable Chemistry*, 2016, vol. 5, page 693.
- [18] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, Adam A. Skelton and R. Veda Vrath, Asian Journal of Chemistry; Vol. 27, No. 10 (2015), 3297.
- [19] R. Ravi, R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham and Adam A Skelton, International Journal of Chemical Kinetics, (2015) Vol. 47, pages 36-41.
- [20] Sanjeev R., Jagannadham V., Skelton Adam A. and Veda Vrath R, *Research Journal of Chemistry & Environment*, 2015, vol. 19(2), page 26-29.
- [21] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, Adam A Skelton and R. Veda Vrath, *Research Journal of Chemistry & Environment*, 2015, vol. 19, page 24.
- [22] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, Adam A Skelton and R. Veda Vrath, Australian Journal of Education in Chemistry, (2014), Vol. 74, page 41
- [23] Rachuru Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, Adam A Skelton and Rachuru Veda Vrath, *Chem. Educator* 2014, *19*, 327–329, (Published from University of Idaho, Idaho, USA
- [24] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, and R. Veda Vrath, Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiopia, (2014), vol. 28, page 295-300.
- [25] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, Adam A Skelton, R. Veda Vrath, World Journal Chemical Education, (2014), vol. 2, pages 4-7.
- [26] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, and R. Veda Vrath, Bulgarian Chem. Commns., (2014) vol. 46, page 375-377.
- [27] V. Jagannadham, and R. Sanjeev, Journal of Applicable Chemistry (2014) vol. 3, page 440-448.
- [28] V. Jagannadham, and R. Sanjeev, *Journal of Applicable Chemistry* (2014) vol. 3, page 1-5.
- [29] R. Sanjeev and V. Jagannadham, 20 April 2013 "Chemistry Views" of Wiley-VCH & Chem Pub Soc: Link:
  - http://www.chemistryviews.org/view/0/ education.html.
- [30] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, R. Ravi, R. Veda Vrath, Arijit Das, *Journal of Laboratory Chemical Education*, Published by Scientific and Academic Publishing, USA 2013; 1(4): 59-64.
- [31] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, R. Veda Vrath and R. Ravi, *QScience Connect, Bloomsbury Qatar Foundation Academic Journal* (2013) Paper No. 36.
- [32] V. Jagannadham, and R. Sanjeev, Advances in Analytical Chemistry, Published by Scientific and Academic Publishing, USA, (2013) Vol. 3, page 54-56.
- [33] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, and R. Veda Vrath, World Journal of Chemical Education, USA, 2013, Vol. 1. Page 1-5.
- [34] R. Sanjeev, and V. Jagannadham, ChemXpress, (2013) Vol. 2(2) page 59-64, http://www.globalpublication.org/globalwebsite/Journals/ChemXp
- ress/volume\_2 issue2.html.
  [35] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, and R. Veda Vrath, *Chemical Education Journal* (CEJ), Japan, Vol. 14, No. 2, The date of issue: February 19, 2013/Registration No. 14-8.
- [36] R. Ravi, R. Sanjeev and V. Jagannadham, International Journal of Chemical Kinetics, (2013), Vol. 45, pages 803-810.
- [37] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham & R. Veda Vrath, Journal of Applicable Chemistry, (India), 2012, 1 (4).
- [38] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, *ChemXpress*, (2012) Vol. 1, page 8, Published by Global Publications, India.
- [39] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, *ChemXpress*, (2012) Vol. 1, page 2, Published by Global Publications, India.
- [40] V. Jagannadham, R. Sanjeev, *Chem13News*, published by Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Canada, (2012). http://share.pdfonline.com/c42d0d5c91 ee4352b4e61e8803082ca2/The%20SignImpactFactoricance%20of %20Water%20 Neutrality. pdf.
- [41] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham and R. Veda Vrath, *ChemEdNZ* (New Zeeland Journal of Chemical Education) (2012) November issue page 12.

- [42] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham and R. Veda Vrath, *Chemistry in New Zeeland* (2012) October issue page 133.
- [43] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, R.Veda Vrath, American Journal of Physical Chemistry, published by Scientific and Academic Publishing, USA, (2012) Vol. 2 page 43-47.
- [44] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham and R. Veda Vrath, *ChemEdNZ* (New Zeeland Journal of Chemical Education) (2012) August issue page 7-9.
- [45] Jagannadham V and Sanjeev Rachuru, Advances in Physical Chemistry, published by Hindawi publishers, USA, Volume 2012 (2012), Article ID 598243, 1-4 pages.
- [46] V. Jagannadham, and R. Sanjeev, *Chem13News*, published by Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Canada, February 2012, number 388, page 15.
- [47] V. Jagannadham, and R. Sanjeev, *Creative Education*, Published by Scientific Research Publishing Inc (USA) (2012) Vol. 3, No. 3, pages 380-382.
- [48] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, International Journal of Chemistry, (Bombay, India) (2012), Vol. 1, page 97-99.
- [49] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham and R. Veda Vrath, *ChemEdNZ*, (New Zeeland Journal of Chemical Education) (2012) May issue, pages 8-10.
- [50] V. Jagannadham, R. Sanjeev and R. Veda Vrath, *ChemEdNZ*, (New Zeeland Journal of Chemical Education) (2012) November issue page 14.
- [51] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, R. Veda Vrath, *Khimiya/Chemistry* (*The Bulgarian Journal of Chemical Education*), (2012) Vol. 21, page 71-77.
- [52] V. Jagannadham, American Journal of Chemistry, Published by Scientific and Academic Publishing, USA, (2012) Vol. 1, page 26-28.
- [53] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham and R. Veda Vrath, *Education Journal* 2012; 1(1): 1-4. Published online December 30, 2012.
- [54] V. Jagannadham, American Journal of Physical Chemistry, Published by Scientific and Academic Publishing, USA, (2012) vol. 2. page 100-102.
- [55] R. Sanjeev, V. Jagannadham, D. Dhiman, Bulgarian Chemical Communications, Vol. 43, (3), pp. 465-466, (2011).
- [56] V. Jagannadham, Bulgarian Chemical Communications, (2011) vol. 42, page 383-394.
- [57] V. Jagannadham, Khimiya/Chemistry (The Bulgarian Journal of Chemical Education), (2010) vol. 19, page 114.
- [58] V. Jagannadham, Creative Education Published by Scientific Research Publishing Inc (USA) (2010) Vol. 1, 128.
- [59] V. Jagannadham, Chemical Education Journal, Japan, (2009), Vol. 12, No. 1. http://chem.sci.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp/cejrnlE.html.
- [60] V. Jagannadham, D. Annapurna Padmavathi, and R. Sanjeev, International Journal of Chemical Kinetics, (2009) vol. 41, page 554.
- [61] V. Jagannadham. Bulgarian Chem. Commns., (2009) vol. 41, page 50.
- [62] V. Jagannadham Khimiya/Chemistry (The Bulgarian Journal of Chemical Education), Vol. 18, (2009) page 89.
- [63] V. Jagannadham. Resonance, (2009), Vol. 14, No. 11, page 1097.
- [64] Corwin Hansch, A. Leo, and R. W. Taft, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 165-195.
- [65] A. Williams, Free Energy Relationships in Organic and Bioorganic Chemistry, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2003, p. 75.
- [66] Nguyen-Nguyen Pham-Tran, Guy Bouchoux, David Delaere, and Minh Tho Nguyen, J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 2957-2963.
- [67] Làszló Nyulàszi, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 1229-1246.
- [68] Adam Moser, Kevin Range, and Darrin M. York, J Phys Chem. B. 2010, 114(43): 13911-13921.
- [69] Kenzo Hiraoka, Can. J. Chem. 65, 1258 (1987).
- [70] Takahashi H, Suzuoka D, Morita A, J Chem Theory Comput. 2015 Mar 10; 11(3): 1181-94.
- [71] Hunt, Ian. "Substituent Effects". University of Calgary Department of Chemistry. Retrieved 16 November 2012.



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).